

Navigating the US-China standoff

24 April 2025

Overview

The US-China economic standoff has escalated with unprecedented tariffs, transforming into a contest of political will, industrial strategy, and global influence. The US trade deficit gives it coercive power, but tariffs may harm its own manufacturers. Meanwhile, China leverages its dominance in critical minerals, controlling 90% of refining capacity for rare earth elements. With reduced reliance on US exports and conservative fiscal policies, China is better positioned to endure prolonged economic pressures. The US faces political challenges with the upcoming elections, while maintaining geopolitical influence. All factors considered, the escalation dominance may be more equal between the US and China than thought. This conflict is about great power rivalries and industrial models. The future is likely to hold an uneasy coexistence. For investors, this may usher in new opportunities and risks.

Trade, power, and precipice: Navigating the US-China economic standoff

As the United States and China ratchet tariffs to levels unseen since the Smoot-Hawley era – 145% on Chinese goods, 125% on American exports – the two superpowers are plunging into a new phase of economic confrontation. Yet, this is no longer just a trade dispute. It is an all-encompassing contest of political will, industrial strategy, and global influence.

This latest surge in tensions is a culmination of long-building pressures since the 2018 invocation of Section 301 of the US Trade Act. The Trump administration at the time looked to counter what it called China's "unfair trade practices", including forced technology transfers, subsidies for state-owned enterprises, and constraints on market access. These complaints, while not without merit, mask a deeper issue from the Chinese perspective: the erosion of American hegemony by China's rise and accordingly, a shift in US strategy from engagement to active suppression.

Who holds the escalation dominance?

Escalation dominance is a concept from strategic theory that refers to a party's ability to escalate a conflict in ways that impose greater costs on the opponent than on itself, thereby deterring the opponent from continuing or intensifying the conflict.

On the surface, the US commands dominance in trade given its large deficit with China and hence, tariffs wield significant coercive power. However, the administration's rationale for imposing wide-ranging tariffs on over 100 countries is rooted in a 17th-century mercantilist framework that sees trade surpluses as profits and deficits as losses; this is fundamentally flawed in a globally integrated economy.

According to Alpine Macro Research, two-thirds of total imports of American manufacturers are intermediate goods. Tariffs, in this context, could be more self-harming than protective to the Americans.

For China, escalation dominance comes not from tariffs alone but from its command of critical minerals. China controls upwards of 90% of the world's refining capacity for rare earth elements. These materials are crucial for electric vehicles, semiconductors, and defense systems. The critical mineral industry is sometimes described as a USD 5bn industry feeding into products worth USD 8trn. With control over every stage of the supply chain and consolidation of the industry into six major state-owned enterprise groups since 2016, China's end-to-end dominance is not easy for other countries to replicate.

China is also less vulnerable to economic pressures today. Exports to the US now account for just 14% of China's total exports, down from 20% in 2016. As a share of China's GDP, those exports have fallen below 3%. Moreover, the economy has undergone several years of painful adjustment from the real estate bust as well as excesses in the financial system. The Chinese government has also been conservative on large-scale fiscal stimulus in past years; this puts them in a stronger position to mitigate the fallout from the disruptive decoupling.

While it is understandable that the US trade deficit is unsustainably high, the global outsourcing system allows US corporates to access lower cost and correspondingly, high profit margin. One example is Apple, where the company enjoys a remarkably high return on equity (ROE) of over 100%. The outsourcing of manufacturing allows the company to incur significantly less fixed-asset investments while keeping the most lucrative parts of the product value-chain: design and marketing.

Navigating the US-China standoff

24 April 2025

Moreover, China is also Apple's second largest market by revenue. This likely explains the subsequent move by the US to exempt electronic products from tariffs. The singular focus on trade deficit also ignores the significant US services surpluses which include software service exports, movies, and financial services.

From an economic point of view, a current account deficit reflects a savings-investment imbalance most evident in the US government's USD 2trn fiscal deficit in 2024. Unwinding the trade deficit also requires the US to consume less, save more, pay higher prices, and lower corporate profits as well as asset prices. The sudden imposition of these tariffs raises questions about the US commitment to global trade and commerce, catalysing a sell-off in both US treasuries and the dollar. One of the factors that contributed to the unrivalled US reserve currency status is its current account deficit, allowing it the exorbitant privilege of a lower cost of financing and hence, stronger growth.

The influence of politics and geopolitics

A key factor in escalation dominance is resilience or the ability to endure the economic pain of a tit-for-tat escalation. In this regard, China will undoubtedly suffer the economic consequences; the US imported USD 438bn worth of goods from them in 2024, including a significant amount of machinery. However, China has a political system that could withstand the pressure of a protracted standoff. The US, on the other hand, faces a mid-term election in 2026. A consumer fallout, whether inflation or job loss, will have an impact on election outcomes, and could reverse the super-majority of the Republican Party.

Finally, the US retains considerable influence in the geopolitical sphere given its military and technological dominance. Against this backdrop, the US could set trade deals with other countries at the expense of China. This poses a difficult situation for many countries in Asia, given the size of the Chinese market and its geographic proximity and concomitant dependence on the security umbrella of the US.

Conclusion: A system in strain

All factors considered, the escalation dominance may be more equal between the US and China than thought. Given the symmetry of risk, the optimal outcome would be a negotiated settlement. There are promising signs that the April 2 tariffs could be negotiated to less catastrophic levels. In our view, markets could recover in the months ahead following a tariff-induced selloff, especially augmented by the market-friendly agenda of the US administration in areas of deregulation and tax cuts. The current sell-off in the US treasury market could also offer investors opportunities in the fixed income market.

Meanwhile, China is likely to pursue more aggressive policy stimulus to mitigate the fallout from the current stalemate. Given the low exposure of major listed Chinese firms to international sales, domestically driven Chinese stimulus could culminate in a more enduring market rally than export performance alone.

It is tempting to imagine this conflict ending with a deal or a handshake. Yet, it is more likely that the future holds uneasy coexistence. The US-China trade war is no longer about trade deficits or market access. It is about great power rivalries and whose industrial model will define the future. The West favours rules-based capitalism, while China champions state-led development. The clash is as much ideological as it is economic. The challenge for both nations – and for the rest of the world – is to build new institutions that can manage rivalry without ruin and to re-imagine a new development model ahead. For investors, this may usher in new sources of opportunities and risks.

Disclaimer

General

This document contains material based on publicly-available information. Although every reasonable care has been taken to ensure the accuracy and objectivity of the information contained in this document, United Overseas Bank Limited (“UOB”) makes no representation or warranty as to, neither has it independently verified, the accuracy or completeness of such information (including any valuations mentioned). UOB neither represents nor warrants that this document is sufficient, complete or appropriate for any particular purpose. Any opinions or predictions reflect the writer’s views as at the date of this document and may be subject to change without notice.

The information contained in this document, including any data, projections and underlying assumptions, are based on certain assumptions, management forecasts and analysis of known information and reflects prevailing conditions as of the date of publication, all of which are subject to change at any time without notice. Past performance figures are not indicative of future results.

Not an offer or solicitation to any particular person

This document should not be regarded as an offer or solicitation to any particular person to transact in any product mentioned. Before deciding to invest in any product mentioned, please seek advice from your financial, legal, tax or other appropriate advisers on the suitability of the product for you, taking into account your specific investment objectives, financial situation or particular needs (to which this document has no regard). If you do not wish to seek such advice, please consider carefully whether any product mentioned is suitable for you.

Risks

An investment in any product mentioned in this document may carry different risks of varying degrees, including credit, market, liquidity, legal, cross-jurisdictional, foreign exchange and other risks (including the risks of electronic trading and trading in leveraged products). Nothing in this publication constitutes personalised accounting, legal, regulatory, tax, financial or other advice that regards the personal circumstances of a particular recipient. Please speak to your financial, legal or other appropriate adviser to understand the risks involved and whether it is appropriate for you to assume such risks before investing in any product.

Any description of investment products is qualified in its entirety by the terms and conditions of the investment product and if applicable, the prospectus or constituting document of the investment product.

Valuation

Product valuations in this document are only indicative and do not represent the terms on which new products may be entered into, or existing products may be liquidated or unwound, which could be less favourable than the valuations indicated herein. These valuations may vary significantly from those available from other sources as different parties may use different assumptions, risks and methods.

No liability

To the fullest extent permitted under applicable laws and regulations, UOB and its affiliates shall not be liable for any loss or damage howsoever arising as a result of any person acting or refraining from acting in reliance on any information, opinion, prediction or valuation contained herein.

UOB and its affiliates involved in the issuance of this document may have an interest in the products mentioned in this document including but not limited to, marketing, dealing, holding, acting as market-makers, performing financial or advisory services, acting as a manager or co-manager of a public offering, of persons mentioned in this document. UOB and its affiliates may also have alliances, contractual agreements, or broking, investment banking or any other relationships for the provision of financial services, with any product provider mentioned in this document. UOB and its affiliates may have issued other reports, publications or documents expressing views which are different from those stated in this document and all views expressed in all reports, publications and documents are subject to change without notice.

Others

Unless you are notified otherwise by UOB, UOB deals as a principal in any transaction which UOB has been instructed to effect, other than transactions relating to securities traded on an exchange, unit trusts and funds on your behalf where UOB acts as your agent.

Singapore. This document and its contents are intended for Accredited Investors (as defined in Section 4A of the Singapore Securities and Futures Act (Chapter 289)).

Hong Kong. This document and its contents are intended for “professional investors” (as defined in the Securities and Futures Ordinance (Chapter 571 of the Laws of Hong Kong) (the “SFO”) and its subsidiary legislation) (“Professional Investors”). Shares or debentures in a company may not be offered or sold in Hong Kong, by means of any document, other than (i) to Professional Investors; or (ii) in other circumstances which do not result in the document being a “prospectus” within the meaning of the Companies (Winding Up and Miscellaneous Provisions) Ordinance (Chapter 32 of the Laws of Hong Kong)(the “CWUMPO”) or which do not constitute an offer to the public within the meaning of the CWUMPO. Unless permitted to do so under the laws of Hong Kong, no person may issue or have in his/her possession for the purpose of issue, or will issue, or have in his/her possession

for the purposes of issue, any advertisement, invitation or document relating to the securities, structured products or interests in collective investment schemes whether in Hong Kong or elsewhere, which is directed at, or the contents of which are likely to be accessed or read by, the public of Hong Kong, other than with respect to the securities, structured products or interests in collective investment schemes that are or are intended to be disposed of only to persons outside Hong Kong, or only to Professional Investors.

WARNING: The contents of this document have not been reviewed by any regulatory authority in Hong Kong. You are advised to exercise caution in relation to this document. If you are in any doubt about any of the contents of this document, you should obtain independent professional advice.

This document may only be distributed in countries where its distribution is legally permitted. This document is not directed to any person in any jurisdiction where (by reason of that person's nationality, residence or otherwise) such publications are prohibited. This document may contain proprietary information of UOB (or its product providers) and may not be reproduced or disseminated in whole or in part without UOB's prior consent.

If there is any inconsistency, or any difference in meaning between the English version and any translation of this document, the English version shall apply and prevail.

United Overseas Bank Limited. Co. Reg. No. 193500026Z

United Overseas Bank Limited is a licensed bank in Hong Kong and is a registered institution in respect of Types 1 (Dealing in Securities) and 4 (Advising on Securities) regulated activities under the SFO.



Right By You